

DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)		At what stage is this document in the process?			
DCP 480 REP-900 cancellations charges Date Raised: 28 July 2026 Proposer Name: Peter Waymont Company Name: Eastern Power Networks plc Party Category: DNO		01 – Change Proposal			
		02 – Consultation			
		03 – Change Report			
		04 – Change Declaration			
Purpose of Change Proposal: To ensure consistency among senders of REP-900.					
	Impacted Parties: ☒ Suppliers ☒ DNOs ☒ IDNOs ☐ CVA Registrants ☐ OTSO Party ☐ Gas Suppliers ☐ SIP Parties				
	Impacted Clauses: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Section/Schedule</th> <th>Clause/Paragraph</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DCUSA owned market message</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Section/Schedule	Clause/Paragraph	DCUSA owned market message
Section/Schedule	Clause/Paragraph				
DCUSA owned market message	N/A				

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Reasons for raising the Change Proposal	4
4	Solution and Legal Text	4
5	Relevant Objectives	5
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	5
7	Implementation	6
8	Recommendations	6

Indicative Timeline

The Secretariat recommends the following timetable:

Initial Assessment Report	19 August 2026
Consultation Issued to Industry Participants	September 2026
Change Report Approved by Panel	18 November 2026
Change Report issued for Voting	19 November 2026
Party Voting Closes	10 December 2026
Change Declaration Issued	14 December 2026

1 Summary

What?

- 1.1 To ensure consistency among senders of how REP-900 cancellations should be treated.

Why?

- 1.2 Most parties submit cancellations with values that have the opposite sign to the original invoices. However, some parties submit REP-900 cancellations using the same sign as the original invoices. This causes confusion and problems with processing the cancellations consistently.

How?

- 1.3 Amend REP-900/MM20064 to add a note to explain how cancellation values should be shown.

Who?

- 1.4 This change impacts suppliers and distributors.

2 Governance

Proposers view on items that impact the progression of the CP

- 2.1 ☐ Tick this box if you feel that you are raising this change to try to address a current or imminent issue that has significant adverse commercial or safety implications or which would otherwise place Parties in breach of relevant regulations.
- 2.2 Do you believe this Change Proposal will have a significant impact on any of the following?
- ☐ Electricity consumers
 - ☐ Supply
 - ☐ Distribution
 - ☐ Generation
 - ☐ Commercial activities connected with any of the above
 - ☒ None of the Above
- 2.3 Do you believe this Change Proposal will?
- ☐ Have a significant commercial impact on any a Party or Party Category or on customers
 - ☐ Be likely to discriminate in its effects between at least one Party or another
 - ☐ Be the subject of controversy between Parties
 - ☐ Cause at least one Party to be in breach of this Agreement if not urgently addressed
 - ☐ Change the governance or the change control arrangements applying to the DCUSA
 - ☒ None of the Above
- 2.4 ☐ Tick this box if you either, haven't developed any proposed legal drafting or if you have, but you feel that further development will be required (i.e., via a Working Group)?
- 2.5 Proposer's view on prioritisation: Standard Priority

Prioritisation Criteria	High Impact
Strategic Direction Statement Alignment: <i>The CP strongly supports key government energy policy actions that require immediate progress and aligns with SDS priorities under the Act Now category.</i>	No
Complexity: <i>The CP is highly complex, with a fast-approaching deadline, significant implementation challenges, and a requirement for extensive stakeholder engagement.</i>	No
Importance: <i>The CP addresses a high-risk or critical issue, making timely action important for stakeholders as it is anticipated to deliver substantial benefits and value.</i>	Yes
Priority Category: <i>(To be considered High priority all 3 Criteria must be High Impact.)</i>	Standard

2.6 It is relatively important such that these matters can be standardised quickly.

Code Admin view on progression route for this CP

- 2.7 Based the answers provided by the Proposer to the above questions the Code Administrator believes that this Change Proposal should:
- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
 - Be treated as a Standard Change; and
 - Proceed to the Definition phase via a Working Group for further development.

3 Reasons for raising the Change Proposal

- 3.1 To ensure consistency among senders of REP-900 so that recipients can interpret and process them consistently.

4 Solution and Legal Text

Solution Overview

- 4.1 Add a note into the REP-900/MM20064 to state: ALL credits should be issued with a negative value even when the Site-Specific DUoS Invoice Type is “Can” for cancelling the previous invoice.
- 4.2 It's not clear at this stage if this needs to reference DI-585/DI20374. This is to be determined by the Working Group.

Legal Text Amendments

- 4.3 This is a market message amendment. As such, no legal text has been provided.

Legal Text Commentary

- 4.4 Not applicable.

Addition/Amendment to DCUSA Owned Market Message (Data Flow) or Data Item

- 4.5 Add a note into the REP-900/MM20064 to state: ALL credits should be issued with a negative value even when the Site-Specific DUoS Invoice Type is “Can” for cancelling the previous invoice”

Market Message (Data Flow) / Data Item Commentary

4.6 It's not clear at this stage if this needs to reference DI-585/DI20374. This is to be determined by the Working Group.

5 Relevant Objectives

5.1 The Proposer's view as to which of the DCUSA Objectives would be better facilitated by the implementation of this Change Proposal is set out below:

DCUSA General Objectives

1) The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
2) The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
3) The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
4) The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive
5) Compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators	None
5.2 The Proposer is of the view that DCUSA General Objective 4 is met by standardising a process for this purpose.	

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Impacts on any Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects

6.1 I do not believe that this CP impacts upon any current SCR or other significant industry change projects.

Impacts on Other Codes

6.2 I have considered whether any other industry codes might be impacted as a result of this Change Proposal, and my initial view is set out below:

Grid Code.....	☒	SEC...	☒	CUSC...	☒	None.....	☒
Distribution Code...	☒	REC....	☒	BSC.....	☒		

Impacts on DCUSA Owned Data Flows

6.3 I believe that this CP will require a change to the message as described previously.

Consumer Impacts

6.4 I do not believe that this change will impact consumers.

Environmental Impacts

6.5 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 10.4.5A, I have assessed whether there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if this CP were implemented. I have not identified any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this CP.

7 Implementation

Lead Time for Implementation

7.1 This Change Proposal should be implemented as soon as reasonably possible.

Proposed Implementation Date

7.2 Next release after approval, subject to any system changes required.

8 Recommendations

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of any recommendations/determinations provided by the Panel in considering the initial Change Proposal. This will form part of a Final Change Report.